Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/787,117	BECKER, ALLAN JAMES
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634
All Participants:	Status of Application	: <u>non-final</u>
(1) <u>Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO)</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Peter Gilster.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 January 2006	Time: <u>am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1-3		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G Applicant agrees to amend the preambles of claims 1 and the preambles of dependent claims 8-10. Claims 1 and 3,	2 to recite the combination of the s	safety cage and ladder, consistent with
Part III.		•
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>n. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance of</li> </ul>	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	olicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)